

Synergetic Collaboration between Intimate Touch and Soft Robotics: Opportunities and Challenges

JOO YOUNG PARK, KTH Royal Institute of Technology, Sweden

In this position paper, I discuss possibilities of synergetic collaboration between intimate touch research and Soft Robotics. I introduce my research interest by describing the concept of intimate touch and exemplifying potential research themes within the context of women's wellbeing and health that are open for applications of actuated materials. Then I outline prospective opportunities and challenges that could arise from the crossover of the two fields aforementioned. Using FlowIO as an example, I delineate how intimate touch research and Soft Robotics can leverage each other, what kind of epistemological contributions could emerge, and possible challenges with regards to this collaboration.

CCS Concepts: • **Human-centered computing** → *Interaction design process and methods*; **Interaction design process and methods**.

Additional Key Words and Phrases: intimate touch, soft robotics, feminist HCI, wearable, actuated material

ACM Reference Format:

Joo Young Park. 2022. Synergetic Collaboration between Intimate Touch and Soft Robotics: Opportunities and Challenges. In *CHI '22: workshop on Actuated Materials and Soft Robotics Strategies for Human-Computer Interaction Design, April 30–May 05, 2022, New Orleans, LA, USA*. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 6 pages. <https://doi.org/10.1145/nnnnnnn.nnnnnnn>

1 EXPLORING NOVEL DIGITAL TOUCH INTERACTION THROUGH INTIMACY

Intimate touch is a concept proposed by Balaam et al. which places emphasis upon intimacy in designing digital touch interaction and technologies [3]. It has its conceptual underpinning on Baradian notion of *intra-action* acknowledging the dynamic formation of agency through inseparable relationships between subjects and objects of touching [4]. Intimate touch attends to the generation of bodily knowledge inspired by soma design [16]. Intimate touch distinguishes itself from disruptive touch technologies in the sense that it does not seek to discipline the body based on certain judgment, but rather focuses on elevating understanding between oneself and the body [3]. It enacts a critical feminist understanding of bodies by paying attention to different possible forms and temporalities of bodies.

The concept of intimate touch is inspired by recent works by a number of HCI and interaction design researchers addressing the agendas of women's wellbeing and health [3]. New ways of reciprocal touching between women and their menstruating bodies were explored in a format of cultural probes [6], shape-changing embodied prototyping toolkit [24], and co-design workshops [8]. The Pelvic Chair [23] reflects consideration of intimate touch to cultivate bodily awareness around the inner yet critical body parts of the pelvic floor. Albeit outside the realm of women's wellbeing, body parts that have been neglected [25] or difficult to be acknowledged without professional skills [9] were engaged as well through intimate technologies of touch.

I draw on the concept of intimate touch as a guidance to develop novel touch interaction for underexplored contexts of women's wellbeing and health. First of all, it directs HCI researchers and practitioners to question experiential

Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for components of this work owned by others than ACM must be honored. Abstracting with credit is permitted. To copy otherwise, or republish, to post on servers or to redistribute to lists, requires prior specific permission and/or a fee. Request permissions from permissions@acm.org.

© 2022 Association for Computing Machinery.

Manuscript submitted to ACM

53 qualities of touch [3, 8, 17, 23–25]. This reroutes the questions of ‘what to solve and optimize’ towards ‘how should we
54 touch our bodies’. Intimate touch opens up a space to speculate on manners of touch - the pragmatics of touch language.
55 This is a critical and timely question to ask considering the momentum of digital technologies approaching closer to our
56 bodies. Notably, the context of women’s wellbeing is closely entangled with diverse psychosocial, cultural, and political
57 factors like taboos, cultural norms, and sexualities. [1, 2, 6, 8, 23, 24, 26]. Explicating how they are interwoven and
58 dynamically influence each other would be a challenging yet rewarding journey, crystallizing touch modalities of care.

59 Furthermore, intimate touch reclaims the importance of our felt experience and stresses our bodies’ capability of
60 generating self-knowledge. Historically bodies have been disregarded as a source of knowledge, especially those in
61 a feminine shape being treated as minor or odd [20]. This tendency seems to be here to stay, accompanied by the
62 proliferation of self-tracking healthcare services and FemTech (Female Technology) products abstracting and datafying
63 bodies [11, 19, 26]. Using intimate touch as guidance, I want to resist this trend of separating bodies from the context
64 by grounding design on situated bodily knowledge and aiming to cultivate people’s body literacy. This goes hand in
65 hand with the feminist perspective of acknowledging the materialities of bodies.
66
67
68
69

70 **2 A RECIPROCAL COLLABORATION OF INTIMATE TOUCH AND SOFT ROBOTICS RESEARCH:** 71 **EMERGENT OPPORTUNITIES**

72
73 Abundance of epistemological, empirical, and methodological contributions will be made through the collaboration
74 of intimate technology and Soft Robotics research. I anticipate this relationship to be synergetic and reciprocal, each
75 discipline offering an intellectual springboard to each other, conjointly investigating more philosophical questions about
76 human body and its boundaries. Together, they will respond to the call for interdisciplinary feminist HCI movements
77 [5] and replenish the research gap in advanced technologies for women’s wellbeing [1].
78

79 In this section, I conjecture how two field of studies can mutually support each other. I occasionally draw on FlowIO
80 [22] as an example to provide more concrete pictures of what this collaboration would look like.
81
82

83 **2.1 For Soft Robotics research, what can Intimate Touch bring?**

84
85 Probing intimate touch interaction will provide a fruitful design space for soft robotic research to expand repertoires
86 of digital touch. Designing for women’s wellbeing and health concerns being in touch with private and sensitive
87 body parts that are closely tied to the historical and sociocultural association of sexuality or taboos [1–3, 8]. Figuring
88 out relevant experiential qualities of touch situated in these compound settings, researchers can better articulate the
89 linkages between experiential qualities and material characteristics of soft robotics materials. For example, pneumatic
90 garment wearable powered by 1 psi pressure could elicit a caring experience in some countries but in other cultural
91 regions, it might be felt like an intimidating or overwhelming experience in others. Exploring diverse qualities of touch
92 and creating vocabularies of touch will bridge the gap between HCI and material science fields [21].
93

94 Researching the multiplicity of contexts through the lens of soma design will broaden the horizon of multi-modal
95 touch interaction. How touch is received is contingent upon many factors like body parts, age, culture, gender, or
96 disability, and combinations of these factors. One setting might require more nuanced and indirect modalities of
97 touch which does not involve physical contact. In other settings, it might be more suitable to integrate other sensory
98 modalities (e.g., sound, smell, taste) with tactile interaction to achieve a more immersive multi-sensory experience.
99 Insights generated from sensory experiments of touch could potentially be fed into the future works of FlowIO,
100 SoftRobotics.IO development [22], diversifying the spectrum of programmable actuation modules.
101
102
103
104

105 Intimate touch research will provide Soft Robotics and material science field with numerous contexts open for
106 empirical case studies. Intimate care, women's wellbeing, and health have been under-researched areas in both HCI
107 and material science fields - and even in medical studies [1] - with a big research gap in the intersection of emergent
108 technologies and women's health [1]. Thus, this collaboration will offer an abundance of space to explore different
109 user experience scenarios of intelligent wearable technology and investigate sociotechnical implications of actuated
110 materials.
111

112 Most notably, Soft Robotics research could contribute to the value of inclusion and diversity both methodologically
113 and epistemologically. Empathizing with the feminist value of empowering research subjects through their involvement
114 in the research, intimate touch research actively adopts a participatory design approach [5]. Through devising low-
115 complexity shape-changing material toolkits, soft robotics research can gain methodological knowledge of designing
116 more accessible soft robotics strategies. Furthermore, soft robotics research can expand its scope of knowledge in
117 wearable technology by engaging with hitherto marginalized users such as non-heterosexual populations, people going
118 through menopause, transgender people and etc.
119
120
121

122 2.2 How can Soft Robotics add value to the Intimate Touch research?

123
124
125 Production and realistic demonstration of autonomous touch interaction will be the most conspicuous contribution of
126 soft robotics strategies. Speculative futures of intimate touch can be manifested into material embodiments which can
127 be worn, touched, and felt by participants and researchers. Working together with material scientists and microsystems
128 engineers, intimate touch research can iteratively explore different forms and qualities of touch through heterogeneous
129 combinations of materials and properties. This would be helpful not only in terms of touch research but also other
130 HCI fields of research since it can create a thorough inventories of material technologies, mechanisms, and fabrication
131 methods to manufacture particular experiential qualities. And perhaps, this could help researchers to acknowledge
132 qualities of touch that are irreplaceable by technologies - which is also greatly valuable.
133
134

135 Increased capabilities of manufacturing more visceral and elaborate touch interaction can drastically contribute to the
136 research on cultivation of body literacy. Almeida et al. and Ståhl et al.'s research demonstrated the importance of 'touch
137 by others' and transferring of somaesthetic expert knowledge as a starting point of developing bodily awareness [2, 23].
138 It is especially apparent in intimate care because pertinent body parts are internally located, difficult to strengthen, or
139 have little visibility (e.g., pelvic floor muscle, hip joints, or cervix area) [2]. Being touched these body parts can evoke
140 feelings of humiliation, discomfort, or frustration due to their sensitive and covert nature. For this reason, soft robotics
141 strategies can be implemented to invent more sophisticated and effectual ways of touching. For instance, self-actuated
142 materials, activity-aware e-textiles, or unobtrusive interaction can be researched to aid wearer's confident reconnection
143 and refocusing to their own bodies, while minimizing other sensory distractions. In this respect, intimate touch research
144 can support more sustainable practices of personal wellbeing.
145
146

147 Lastly, a whole new world of possibilities of longitudinal intimate touch studies would arise as autonomous interactive
148 technologies become available. With the help of soft robotics and material science expertise, we can develop robust
149 wearable designs powered by more compact actuation components and better calibrated touch interactions. Designs
150 can be deployed in the actual context and embodied "*in and through*" [7] users' bodies over a long period of time. In so
151 doing, researchers will be able to examine how these interactive intimate technologies influence and transform people's
152 lives.
153
154
155
156

2.3 Jointly posing critical questions over our bodies, cyborgs, and robotic skins

The overarching contribution of this collaborative study would be about eliciting critical yet controversial discussions about human bodies, cyborgs, and material-semiotic embodiments of robotic skins [7, 14]. Continuous works will take place exploring different material structures of intimate touch and identifying objects that need to be sensed and measured. By bringing the intimate touch closer to the skin, we could contemplate on reciprocal implications of body's materialities and meanings [17]. What does it mean for a robotic wearable 'to touch a human body'? Where is the boundary and limit for a human body? Where is the touch experience located? Do we live inside our skin or can our being transcend beyond the skins? What makes certain technology natural, organic, and intimate? And what does it even mean to be natural?

These philosophical conversations will greatly inspire the feminist technoscientific discourses on bodies. The forms and qualities of actuated intimate touch might disclose embodied inequalities and normative predispositions. Or they could facilitate us to conjecture re-materialization of our bodies and alternative relationships of bodily encounters that *does not fetishize, consume, or eat the other* [15].

3 CHALLENGES

While we have a wide varieties of opportunities to unfold, there are practical concerns and challenges present in this partnership. I would like to wrap up this position paper by outlining four aspects of expected challenges.

(1) Fabricating Delicate and Nuanced Touch More research should be taken place to help designers create more delicate and nuanced touch interaction. From HCI's side, GUI interfaces can be developed, through which designers can modify and iteratively refine nuances of touch interaction. From material science, mechanics, and physics studies, ways to translate huge force of power into sinuous, disseminated, and minimized force should be more researched. Taking FlowIO as an example, researchers could explore other inflatable shapes suitable to touch intimate body parts and address noise generated from the activated pump [18].

(2) Easy and Stable Soft Robotics The implementation of actuated materials in participatory design sessions and longitudinal user studies will require researchers to come up with more easy-to-use and stable robotic structures. Programmable materials are still quite complex ingredients of matter to be explored with participants - and even researchers - without sufficient technical knowledge. More accessible and comprehensible kinds of actuated materials should be invented to utilize them as design materials in participatory design workshops. Furthermore, soft robotic materials' robustness, stable network server connection, and easy initial server setup should also be taken into account to allow longitudinal user studies. Researchers should be able to easily set up a cloud server of the design, make adjustments, and fix quickly in case of malfunction.

(3) Bodily Data Justice The development of autonomous intimate technologies entails ethical issues regarding measurement, collection, usage, and storage of private and sensitive personal data, ranging from behavioral patterns, sexualities, menstruation cycle, bodily fluids to microbiomes [10]. Ethical handling of these bodily data should be taken seriously and protocols for ethical development of intimate technologies should be established first before their deployment. How should bodily data be handled and taken care of? How can designers ensure that their technology is justice-oriented? And how can we prevent it from giving a rise to another form of participatory physiological surveillance [12]?

(4) Discovering Sustainable and Body-Safe Materials Last but not least, we need to work towards more sustainable and safety-proof alternative materials. As wearable electronics emerged as a main contributor of e-waste problem [13],

environmental aspects of body-worn materials and electronic components should be taken into account. A conscious transformation towards decomposable or recyclable materials is necessary. Furthermore, since these technologies would be touching the intimate parts of our bodies, it is important to discover and create materials that are harmless and safe in a long run.

REFERENCES

- [1] Teresa Almeida, Madeline Balaam, Shaowen Bardzell, and Lone Koefoed Hansen. 2020. Introduction to the Special Issue on HCI and the Body: Reimagining Women’s Health. *ACM Transactions on Computer-Human Interaction* 27, 4 (Sept. 2020), 1–32. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3406091>
- [2] Teresa Almeida, Rob Comber, and Madeline Balaam. 2016. HCI and Intimate Care as an Agenda for Change in Women’s Health. In *Proceedings of the 2016 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (CHI ’16)*. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 2599–2611. <https://doi.org/10.1145/2858036.2858187>
- [3] Madeline Balaam, Nadia Campo Woytuk, Marianela Cioffi Felice, Ozgun Kilic Afsar, Anna Ståhl, and Marie Louise Juul Søndergaard. 2020. Intimate touch. *Interactions* 27, 6 (Nov. 2020), 14–17. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3427781>
- [4] K. Barad. 2012. On Touching—The Inhuman That Therefore I Am. *differences* 23, 3 (Jan. 2012), 206–223. <https://doi.org/10.1215/10407391-1892943>
- [5] Shaowen Bardzell and Jeffrey Bardzell. 2011. Towards a feminist HCI methodology: social science, feminism, and HCI. In *Proceedings of the SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (CHI ’11)*. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 675–684. <https://doi.org/10.1145/1978942.1979041>
- [6] Nadia Campo Woytuk, Marie Louise Juul Søndergaard, Marianela Cioffi Felice, and Madeline Balaam. 2020. Touching and Being in Touch with the Menstruating Body. In *Proceedings of the 2020 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 1–14. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3313831.3376471>
- [7] Claudia Castañeda. 2003. Robotic skin: the future of touch? In *Thinking Through the Skin* (0 ed.), Sara Ahmed and Jackie Stacey (Eds.). Routledge, 223–236. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203165706>
- [8] Marianela Cioffi Felice, Marie Louise Juul Søndergaard, and Madeline Balaam. 2021. Resisting the Medicalisation of Menopause: Reclaiming the Body through Design. In *Proceedings of the 2021 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (CHI ’21)*. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 1–16. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3411764.3445153>
- [9] Kelsey Cotton, Ozgun Kilic Afsar, Yoav Luft, Priyanka Syal, and Fehmi Ben Abdesslem. 2021. SymbioSinging: Robotically transposing singing experience across singing and non-singing bodies. In *Creativity and Cognition (C&C ’21)*. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 1–5. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3450741.3466718>
- [10] Catherine D’Ignazio and Lauren F. Klein. 2020. *Data feminism*. The MIT Press, Cambridge, Massachusetts.
- [11] Sarah E. Fox, Amanda Menking, Jordan Eschler, and Uba Backonja. 2020. Multiples Over Models: Interrogating the Past and Collectively Reimagining the Future of Menstrual Sensemaking. *ACM Transactions on Computer-Human Interaction* 27, 4 (Sept. 2020), 22:1–22:24. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3397178>
- [12] Michele E. Gilman. 2021. *Periods for Profit and the Rise of Menstrual Surveillance*. SSRN Scholarly Paper ID 3824970. Social Science Research Network, Rochester, NY. <https://papers.ssrn.com/abstract=3824970>
- [13] Yifan Guo, Shuo Chen, Lijie Sun, Lei Yang, Luzhi Zhang, Jiaming Lou, and Zhengwei You. 2021. Degradable and Fully Recyclable Dynamic Thermoset Elastomer for 3D-Printed Wearable Electronics. *Advanced Functional Materials* 31, 9 (2021), 2009799. <https://doi.org/10.1002/adfm.202009799> <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1002/adfm.202009799>
- [14] Donna Jeanne Haraway. 1991. *Simians, cyborgs, and women: the reinvention of nature*. Routledge, New York.
- [15] bell hooks. 2015. *Black looks: race and representation*. Routledge, New York.
- [16] Kristina Höök. 2018. *Designing with the body: somaesthetic interaction design*. The MIT Press, Cambridge, Massachusetts.
- [17] Pavel Karpashevich, Pedro Sanches, Rachael Garrett, Yoav Luft, Kelsey Cotton, Vasiliki Tsaknaki, and Kristina Höök. 2022. Touching Our Breathing through Shape-Change: Monster, Organic Other, or Twisted Mirror. *ACM Transactions on Computer-Human Interaction* 29, 3 (June 2022), 1–40. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3490498>
- [18] Ozgun Kilic Afsar, Ali Shtarbanov, Hila Mor, Ken Nakagaki, Jack Forman, Karen Modrei, Seung Hee Jeong, Klas Hjort, Kristina Höök, and Hiroshi Ishii. 2021. OmniFiber: Integrated Fluidic Fiber Actuators for Weaving Movement based Interactions into the ‘Fabric of Everyday Life’. In *The 34th Annual ACM Symposium on User Interface Software and Technology*. ACM, Virtual Event USA, 1010–1026. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3472749.3474802>
- [19] Deborah Lupton. 2015. Quantified sex: a critical analysis of sexual and reproductive self-tracking using apps. *Culture, Health & Sexuality* 17, 4 (April 2015), 440–453. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13691058.2014.920528> Publisher: Taylor & Francis <https://doi.org/10.1080/13691058.2014.920528>
- [20] Janet Price and Margrit Shildrick (Eds.). 1999. *Feminist theory and the body: a reader*. Edinburgh University Press, Edinburgh. OCLC: ocm41636971.
- [21] Isabel P. S. Qamar, Rainer Groh, David Holman, and Anne Roudaut. 2018. HCI meets Material Science: A Literature Review of Morphing Materials for the Design of Shape-Changing Interfaces. In *Proceedings of the 2018 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 1–23. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3173574.3173948>
- [22] Ali Shtarbanov. 2021. FlowIO Development Platform – the Pneumatic “Raspberry Pi” for Soft Robotics. In *Extended Abstracts of the 2021 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. Number 479. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY,

- 261 USA, 1–6. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3411763.3451513>
- 262 [23] Anna Ståhl, Vasiliki Tsaknaki, and Madeline Balaam. 2021. Validity and Rigour in Soma Design-Sketching with the Soma. *ACM Transactions on*
263 *Computer-Human Interaction* 28, 6 (Dec. 2021), 1–36. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3470132>
- 264 [24] Marie Louise Juul Søndergaard, Ozgun Kilic Afsar, Marianela Ciolfi Felice, Nadia Campo Woytuk, and Madeline Balaam. 2020. Designing with
265 Intimate Materials and Movements: Making "Menarche Bits". In *Proceedings of the 2020 ACM Designing Interactive Systems Conference*. Association
266 for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 587–600. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3357236.3395592>
- 267 [25] Vasiliki Tsaknaki. 2021. The Breathing Wings: An Autobiographical Soma Design Exploration of Touch Qualities through Shape-Change Materials.
268 In *Designing Interactive Systems Conference 2021*. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 1266–1279. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3461778.3462054>
- 269 [26] Agatha Tutia, Kelda Baljon, Lan Vu, and Daniela K. Rosner. 2019. HCI and Menopause: Designing With and Around the Aging Body. In *Extended*
270 *Abstracts of the 2019 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (CHI EA '19)*. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY,
271 USA, 1–8. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3290607.3299066>
- 272
- 273
- 274
- 275
- 276
- 277
- 278
- 279
- 280
- 281
- 282
- 283
- 284
- 285
- 286
- 287
- 288
- 289
- 290
- 291
- 292
- 293
- 294
- 295
- 296
- 297
- 298
- 299
- 300
- 301
- 302
- 303
- 304
- 305
- 306
- 307
- 308
- 309
- 310
- 311
- 312